

**Washington County Public Health
State Budget Cuts
2009-2010**

2009-2010 State Budget Cuts

Trend Factor Adjustments	\$ (22,356)	4/09-12/09
.35% Gross Receipts Tax	<u>\$ (12,548)</u>	4/09-12/09
 Total	 \$ (34,904)	

2008 CHHA Receipts	\$ 3,031,953	04/08-12/08
2008 Long Term Receipts	<u>\$ 553,226</u>	04/08-12/08
	\$ 3,585,179	
GRT %	0.35%	
GRT Estimate	\$ 12,548	

Rejected Items:

- Across the Board Reductions In Medicaid Rates
- Episodic Payment for Long Term
- Eliminate LT and Hospice from State Aid
- CHHA services to become Core rather than Optional (100% vs. 36%)
- Eliminate State Aid Funding for Admin CPSE Costs
- Early Intervention Admin and Svc Coordination as Core rather than Optional (100% vs. 36%)
- County Share of CPSE goes to 38% rather than 40.5% (currently reimbursed at 59 1/2%)
- SEIT Services paid FFS rather than paying for missed visits

Chapter 6

Summary

The investigation that has been completed for PVI to determine whether it was a viable alternative to collect sewage from the existing facility at PVI, as well as sewage generated along the route to the Village of Argyle and treat it at the proposed Village of Argyle WWTP site. This included the development of the areas that would be serviced in the Town of Argyle and a projection of development that would occur along this route over a twenty year planning period. The estimated flows from this area along with those flows developed for PVI were utilized as a base flow to determine what the actual flows at the WWTP in the Village of Argyle would be. At that point we evaluated the discharge pollutant limits that would be allowed in the Moses Kill. The increased pollutant removals required by the increase in flow to the plant resulted in the development of an alternate treatment system, which would be capable of meeting the required discharge limits. Once a process was found that could meet these limits we investigated the area available at the site to determine if the site could still accommodate the proposed treatment system and found that indeed enough area existed for the newly proposed system.

This completes the first part of our investigation that was to determine if such a proposition would be available as an option to treating sewage developed at PVI as well as flows from the Town of Argyle at the proposed Village of Argyle WWTP site. It is an option.